



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/998,797 | 12/03/2001 | Jeffrey Scott Weaver | 10010092-1 | 7072 |
| 7590 12/14/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | LAM, ANDREW H | |
| Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2624 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 09/998,797 | WEAVER, JEFFREY SCOTT | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Andrew H. Lam | 2624 | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. |
|---|--|
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Al this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affid places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in coa Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must | avit, or other evidence, which impliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| time periods: | |
| a) | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | • |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 | 6(a) and the appropriate extension fee |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply original set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be fil | led within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, w (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduappeal; and/or | ucing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejections. | cted claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Com | ppliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | . , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, tin non-allowable claim(s). | mely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-20,22 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the d entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See | and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after ent REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | ry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in a See Continuation Sheet. | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No 13. ☐ Other: | o(s) |
| | |
| nous | LAS Q.TRAN |
| | MENALMETTS |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 121205

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added claim limitations "...and user adapted to communicate with the identification reader via wireless communication, the identification tag include a memory, the memory storing data corresponding to the third information, the user identification tag being adapted to provide the third information to the identification reader." found in claims 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 23 as it depends from amended claim 9 raise new issues tht would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It relies on the newly added claim limitations, not being entered; and the finally rejected claim limitations are still met by the prior art of record.

Andrew In

12/12/05

DOUGLAS Q. TRAN